arXiv:1609.08601v1 [math.DG] 27 Sep 2016

On Heisenberg normal structures

Marcin Sroka, Robert Wolak

Abstract. We consider higher dimensional generalisations of normal almost contact structures. Two types of these structures are discussed. In the first case we replace an action of $\mathbb{R}$ (which is the case of almost contact manifolds) by a free action of $\mathbb{R}^n$ on a manifold. We argue that the normality condition can be satisfied only in the case of an almost complex structure as defined for $\mathcal{K}-structure$. The second one is when the acting group is the Heisenberg H_3 and the almost complex structure is constructed in a different way. In both cases the normality conditions are expressed in terms of the structure tensors.

Key words: f-structure, normal almost contact structure, Heisenberg group
2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 53C12, 53C15, 53C25

1 Introduction

In differential geometry Kähler manifolds or more generally complex or almost complex manifolds play a very important role. However, these geometrical conditions imply that the manifold under consideration has even dimension. Numerous physical applications point to the necessity of the study of odd dimensional counterparts of these manifolds. In differential topology we have a similar phenomenon of symplectic and contact topology. In the richer environment of differential geometry this duality corresponds to the study of Kähler and Sasakian structures or K-contact structures. The additional dimension is given by a non-vanishing vector field and in the normal (horizontal) direction we have a Kähler (res. complex, almost complex) structure. To be precise the 1-dimensional foliation defined by the vector field is transversely Kähler cf. [7, 2, 4]. However, even a non-vanishing Killing vector field on a compact Riemannian manifold (M, g) defining a transversely Kähler foliation is not an element of a Sasakian structure cf. [4]. Many obstructions to the existence of such a Sasakian structure have been found, eg. cf. [4] and the references therein. The classical definition in [2], calls for the normality condition of the contact metric structure which at first glance looks a little artificial. The notion of normal almost contact structures is well known and detailed informations about them can be found in [2]. We recall the main idea. Suppose we have an almost contact structure which is by definition a smooth manifold M together with structure tensors - ϕ of type $(1,1)$, η - a one form and ξ a vector field such that

$$\phi^2 = -I + \eta \otimes \xi, \quad \eta(\xi) = 1.$$

One says that an almost contact structure (M, ϕ, η, ξ) is normal if the almost complex structure

$$J(\xi) = \partial_t$$

$$J(\partial_t) = -\xi$$

$$J(X) = \phi(X) \quad for \ \ any \quad X \in D = im\phi$$

or in terms of structure tensors

$$J(X, f\frac{\partial}{\partial t}) = (\phi(X) - f\xi, \eta(X)\frac{\partial}{\partial t}),$$

defined on on $M \times \mathbb{R}$, is integrable. It turns out, cf. [7, 2], that being a normal almost contact manifold is equivalent to a certain simple condition

$$[\phi, \phi](X, Y) + d\eta(X, Y)\xi = 0$$

where $[\phi, \phi]$ stands for the Nijenhuis tensor of type $(1, 2)$ given by

$$[\phi, \phi](X, Y) = \phi^2[X, Y] + [\phi X, \phi Y] - \phi[\phi X, Y] - \phi[X, \phi Y].$$

So ϕ satisfies the "normality condition"

$$[\phi, \phi] + d\eta \otimes \xi = 0$$

iff J is integrable, i.e. a contact metric structure (g, ϕ, ξ, η) on a manifold M is Sasakian iff the corresponding structure on $M \times R$ is conformally Kähler cf. [2].

One can find more similar structures in differential geometry e.g. $\mathcal{K}$-structures in [9, 5] or 3-Sasakian structures in [3]. In each case we have a foliation given by a finite number of non-vanishing linearly independent vector fields forming a Lie algebra, i.e. defining a foliation, whose transverse structure is Kähler or hyper-Kähler. Moreover in each case, we have an additional condition of the *normality type*. The study of the geometry of such manifolds, called **g**-manifolds, was iniciated by D. Alekseevsky et al. in [1].

In this short paper we investigate the normality condition á la Sasaki for general locally free actions of a Lie group, i.e. **g**-manifolds, and a certain almost complex structure being a generalisation of that considered in case of almost contact structure or more generally $\mathcal{K}$-structure. We also discuss an example of a new structure which we call Sasaki-Heisenberg.

For convenience' sake throughout this paper we will distinguish the abstract Lie algebra **g** from its "representative" image in $\chi(M)$, if ξ is an element of **g** then the corresponding vector field on M is denoted by ξ^*.

2 g-normal structures

Let us assume that a smooth manifold M of dimension $2n+p$ is a $\mathbf{g}$-manifold with $\mathbf{g}$ a Lie algebra of dimension p and let

$$^* : \mathbf{g} \to \chi(M)$$

be the Lie algebra homomorphism from the Lie algebra $\mathbf{g}$ to vector fields on M. To any vector $X \in \mathbf{g}$ corresponds a X^*. Furthermore, let $\xi_1, ..., \xi_p$ be a basis of $\mathbf{g}$. If we call $\mathcal{F}_\mathbf{g}$ the foliation defined by the vector fields of the Lie algebra $\mathbf{g}^*$, then the vector fields $\xi_1^*, ..., \xi_p^*$ define a parallelism along leaves of $\mathcal{F}_\mathbf{g}$. The tangent bundle to the foliation is trivial and any Riemannian metric g on M permits us to define a 1-form on M with values in $\mathbf{g}$. Namely, take a g-orthogonal complement of $T\mathcal{F}_\mathbf{g}$ and take 1-forms η_i on M which are g-dual to ξ_i^*. These one forms allow us to define a 1-form η with values in $\mathbf{g}$ o on M by

$$\eta(X) = \Sigma_{i=1}^p \eta_i(X)\xi_i.$$

The orthogonal projection π onto the tangent bundle to the foliation is in fact given by

$$\pi(X_x) = \Sigma_{i=1}^p \eta_i(X)\xi_{i\,x}^* = (\eta X)^*{}_x$$

when $X_x \in T_xM$.

In an analogy to almost contact structures presented in the introduction, let us consider an f-structure ϕ on M, that is a tensor of type $(1,1)$ such that

$$\phi^3 = -\phi.$$

As it can be found in [6], if we assume that $ker\phi$ is parallelizable (such a structure is called a $f.pk$-structure) by ξ_i^* it will satisfy

$$\phi^2 = -I + \eta^*.$$

If we set $D = im\,\phi$, we will get a decomposition of the tangent bundle of M

$$TM = span\{\xi_1^*, ..., \xi_p^*\} \oplus D.$$

Hopefully, the direction of further considerations is clear. Let G be the simply connected Lie group with the Lie algebra $\mathbf{g}$. We indentify elements of $\mathbf{g}$ with left invariant vector field on G. Let's consider the almost complex structure on $M \times G$ (whose tangent bundle has decomposition $T(M \times G) = span\{\xi_i^*\} \oplus D \oplus span\{\xi_i\}$) by the formula

$$J(X, Y) = (\phi X - Y^*, \eta(X))$$

where $X \in \chi(M)$ and $Y \in \chi(G)$. It is clear that it is an almost complex structure since ϕ on D is an almost complex structure and it agrees on it with J. J acts on the remaining part of $T(M \times G)$ by

$$\xi_i^* \mapsto \xi_i \mapsto -\xi_i^*.$$

So it is an almost complex structure. We say that ϕ is normal if the corresponding almost complex structure J on $M \times G$ is integrable. In a slightly different context it was proved in [6] that for $G = \mathbb{R}^p$, J is integrable iff ψ is so called integrable f-contact manifold, yet no characterisation in terms of structure tensors vas given which is our goal. Thanks to the celebrated theorem of Newlander - Nirenberg it is enough to study when

$$[J, J] \equiv 0.$$

Since the Nijenhuis tensor is an antysymmetric tensor it is enough to check that condition only on pairs of vector fields of three types:

$$(1) \quad (\xi_i^*, 0),\ (X, 0),\ (0, \xi_i)$$

where the vector field X has values in D and ξ_i are as before. We get:

$$(I) \quad [J, J]\left[(\xi_i^*, 0), (\xi_j^*, 0)\right] = -\left([\xi_i^*, \xi_j^*], 0\right) + \left([\phi\xi_i^*, \phi\xi_j^*], [\xi_i, \xi_j]\right) - J\left[(\phi\xi_i^*, \xi_i), (\xi_j^*, 0)\right] - J\left[(\xi_i^*, 0), (\phi\xi_j^*, \xi_j)\right] = \left(-[\xi_i^*, \xi_j^*], [\xi_i, \xi_j]\right)$$

We see that the necessary condition for the integrability is that G is abelian so in fact $G = \mathbb{R}^p$. We proceed with our computations assuming that.

$$(II) \quad [J, J]\left[(\xi_i^*, 0), (0, \xi_j)\right] = \left[(\phi\xi_i^*, \xi_i), (-\xi_j^*, 0)\right] - J\left(0, [\xi_i, \xi_j]\right) - J\left(-[\xi_i^*, \xi_j^*], 0\right) = -\left(-[\xi_i, \xi_j]^*, 0\right) + \left(\phi[\xi_i^*, \xi_j^*], \eta[\xi_i^*, \xi_j^*]\right) = 0$$

$$(III) \quad [J, J]\left[(0, \xi_i), (0, \xi_j)\right] = -\left(0, [\xi_i, \xi_j]\right) + \left([\xi_i^*, \xi_j^*], 0\right) = 0$$

$$(IV) \quad [J, J]\left[(X, 0), (Y, 0)\right] = -\left([X, Y], 0\right) + \left[(\phi X, 0), (\phi Y, 0)\right] - J\left([\phi X, Y], 0\right) - J\left([X, \phi Y], 0\right) = \left(-[X, Y], 0\right) + \left([\phi X, \phi Y], 0\right) - \left(\phi[\phi X, Y], \eta[\phi X, Y]\right) - \left(\phi[X, \phi Y], \eta[X, \phi Y]\right) = \left([\phi, \phi][X, Y] - \left(\eta[X, Y]\right)^*, -\eta\left([\phi X, Y] + [X, \phi Y]\right)\right)$$

$$(V) \quad [J, J]\left[(X, 0), (0, \xi_i)\right] = \left[(\phi X, 0), (-\xi_i^*, 0)\right] - J\left[(\phi X, 0), (0, \xi_i)\right] - J\left[(X, 0), (-\xi_i^*, 0)\right] = \left(-[\phi X, \xi_i^*], 0\right) + J\left([X, \xi_i^*], 0\right) = \left(-[\phi X, \xi_i^*], 0\right) + \left(\phi[X, \xi_i^*], \eta[X, \xi_i^*]\right) = \left(\phi[X, \xi_i^*] - [\phi X, \xi_i^*], \eta[X, \xi_i^*]\right)$$

$$(VI) \quad [J, J]\left[(X, 0), (\xi_i^*, 0)\right] = -\left([X, \xi_i^*], 0\right) + \left[(\phi X, 0), (\phi\xi_i^*, \xi_i)\right] - J\left[(\phi X, 0), (\xi_i^*, 0)\right] - J\left[(X, 0), (\phi\xi_i^*, \xi_i)\right] = \left(-[X, \xi_i^*] + [\phi X, \phi\xi_i^*], 0\right) - \left(\phi[\phi X, \xi_i^*], \eta[\phi X, \xi_i^*]\right) - \left(\phi[X, \phi\xi_i^*], \eta[X, \phi\xi_i^*]\right) = \left([\phi, \phi](X, \xi_i^*) - \left(\eta[X, \xi_i^*]\right)^*, -\eta\left([\phi X, \xi_i^*] + [X, \phi\xi_i^*]\right)\right).$$

The necessary condition for the integrability of J is that all components of the Nijenhuis tensor vanish, yet it turns out (as in case of almost contact structures) that the vanishing of some of them imply vanishing of others. To be precise, the following theorem gives the necessary and sufficient conditions for a manifold M to be normal.

Theorem 2.1. *Let M be a $\mathbf{g}$-manifold and let ϕ be a f.pk-structure ϕ whose kernel is parallelizable by an image of $\mathbf{g}$ under *. Then M is normal iff $G = \mathbb{R}^p$ and*

$$(2) \quad [\phi, \phi](Z, T) + d\eta^*(Z, T) = 0$$

for $Z, T \in \chi(M)$, where $d\eta^*$ is an antisymmetric tensor of type $(1, 2)$ given by

$$d\eta^*(Z, T) = d\eta_i(Z, T)\xi_i^* = (Z\eta_i T - T\eta_i Z - \eta_i[Z, T])\,\xi_i^*.$$

Proof. First, we have seen from (I) that if M is normal than G is abelian and since it is simply connected it is $\mathbb{R}^p$. We will show that the condition (2) is also necessary. It is clear that it is enough to show (2) when Z, T are taken as in (1) since both sides of the equation are antisymmetric tensors of type $(1, 2)$. One observes that:

$$[\phi, \phi](\xi_i^*, \xi_j^*) + d\eta^*(\xi_i^*, \xi_j^*) = 0$$

since both terms in the sum vanish. If X, Y are vector fields with values in D we have from (IV) that:

$$0 = [\phi, \phi](X, Y) - \eta^*[X, Y] = [\phi, \phi](X, Y) + d\eta^*(X, Y)$$

since $\eta_i X = \eta_i Y = 0$. Finally, from (VI) we have:

$$0 = [\phi, \phi](X, \xi_i^*) - \eta^*[X, \xi_i^*] = [\phi, \phi](X, \xi_i^*) + d\eta^*[X, \xi_i^*]$$

because $\eta_j X = 0$ and $\eta_j \xi_i^* = 1$. We are done and ready to show that the condition is sufficient. First of all, observe that $\eta^*(d\eta^*) = d\eta^*$ which after taking η^* of both sides of (2) gives:

$$\eta^*\left([\phi Z, \phi T]\right) + d\eta^*(Z, T) = 0.$$

After substituting $Z = X$ and $T = \xi_i^*$ we get:

$$0 = d\eta^*(X, \xi_i^*) = -\eta^*[X, \xi_i^*]$$

so the second coordinate in (V) vanishes. From what we have just established we see that the following vanishes

$$[\phi, \phi](X, \xi_i^*) = \phi^2[X, \xi_i^*] + [\phi X, \phi\xi_i^*] - \phi[X, \phi\xi_i^*] - \phi[\phi X, \xi_i^*] = \phi\left(\phi[X, \xi_i^*] - [\phi X, \xi_i^*]\right)$$

which after taking ϕ of the last expression leads us to:

$$0 = -\phi[X, \xi_i^*] + [\phi X, \xi_i^*] + \eta^*\phi[X, \xi_i^*] - \eta^*[\phi X, \xi_i^*] = -\phi[X, \xi_i^*] + [\phi X, \xi_i^*]$$

since $\eta^*\phi = 0$ and as we have seen $\eta^*[\phi X, \xi_i^*] = 0$. Hence we have shown that also the first coordinate of (V) vanishes. Again starting from

$$[\phi, \phi][\phi X, T] + d\eta^*(\phi X, T) = 0$$

by taking η^* of both sides we will get:

$$\eta^*[\phi^2 X, \phi T] = -\eta^*[X, \phi T] + \eta^*[\eta^* X, \phi T] = \eta^*[\phi X, T]$$

which gives the vanishing of the second coordinates of (IV) and (VI). The first coordinates of (IV) and (VI) have already vanished thanks to our assumptions, so we are done. □

Remark The obvious way of defining an almost-complex structure on the manifold $M \times G$ together with the integrability condition forces the Lie algebra $\mathbf{g}$ to be abelian. Therefore in the following section we will look for alternative ways of defining an almost complex structure on $M \times G$ and look at the implications of the integrability condition in that case.

3 Heisenberg normal structures

For the purposes of that section let us recall that the three dimensional Heisenberg group H_3 is a nilpotent Lie subgroup of $Gl_3(\mathbb{R})$ of the form

$$H_3 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & p & r \\ 0 & 1 & q \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \; : \; p,\, q,\, r \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

whose Lie algebra is

$$\mathfrak{h}_3 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & p & r \\ 0 & 0 & q \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \; : \; p,\, q,\, r \in \mathbb{R} \right\}.$$

As a basis of $\mathfrak{h}_3$ we choose:

$$\xi_1 = p = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \; \xi_2 = q = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \xi_3 = r = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

in that case:

$$[p, r] = [q, r] = 0 \text{ and } [p, q] = r.$$

Let M be an $\mathfrak{h}_3$-manifold equiped with an f-structure ϕ whose kernel is parallelizable by $p^*,\, q^*,\, r^*$, η_1, η_2, η_3 are as in the previous paragraph and $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3$ are 1-forms on H_3 dual to p, q, r respectively. Again we will try to construct an almost complex structure on $M \times H_3$ which has a chance to be integrable. From what we have seen in the previous section we should choose a structure on $span\{p^*, q^*, r^*\} \oplus span\{p, q, r\}$ different from

$$p^* \mapsto p \mapsto -p^*$$

$$q^* \mapsto q \mapsto -q^*$$

$$r^* \mapsto r \mapsto -r^*.$$

Let us consider one given by

$$p^* \mapsto q^* \mapsto -p^*$$

$$r \mapsto r^* \mapsto -r$$

$$p \mapsto q \mapsto -p.$$

Denote by $\tilde{\phi}$ a $(1,1)$-tensor on M given by

$$\tilde{\phi}_{|D} = \phi$$

$$\tilde{\phi}(p^*) = q^*$$
$$\tilde{\phi}(q^*) = -p^*$$
$$\tilde{\phi}(r^*) = 0$$

and by ψ a $(1,1)$ tensor on H_3 given by

$$\psi(p) = q$$

$$\psi(q) = -p$$
$$\psi(r) = 0.$$

Then we have

$$\tilde{\phi}^2 = -I + \eta_3 \otimes r^*$$

and $\tilde{\phi}$ is a new *f.pk* structure on M of codimension one. We define an almost complex structure

$$\tilde{J}(X,Y) = \Big(\tilde{\phi}(X) - \lambda_3(Y)r^*, \psi(Y) + \eta_3(X)r\Big)$$

with the usual convention that the components of $(X,Y) \in \chi(M \times H_3)$ are, respectively, in TM and TH_3. With that preparations we are ready to give the main definition of this paragraph.

Definition 3.1. *An f.pk structure ϕ on M whose kernel is paralelizable by a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}_3$ is said to be Heisenberg normal iff $\tilde{J}$ is integrable.*

We will prove the following theorem which gives necessary and sufficient conditions for the constructed almost complex structure to be integrable.

Theorem 3.2. *An almost complex structure $\tilde{J}$ is integrable iff*

$$(4) \quad [\tilde{\phi}, \tilde{\phi}](Z,T) + d\eta_3(Z,T)r^* = 0$$

where Z, T are vector fields on M.

Proof. As before it is enough to examine vanishing of the Nijenhuis tensor in cases from (1). First of all, we will deal with cases I, II and III. Observe that

$$[J,J](p,r) = [J,J](q,r) = 0$$

since r and r^* annihilates p, q, p^*, q^*. Also

$$[J,J](p,q) = -[p,q] + [q,-p] - J[q,q] - J[p,-p] = 0.$$

Of course, the same considerations apply to p^*, q^*, r^* since * operator preserves the Lie bracket. If $a \in \{p,q,r\}$ and $b \in \{p^*, q^*, r^*\}$, then

$$[J,J](a,b) = 0$$

because $J(\{p^*,q^*\}) \subset span\{p^*,q^*\}$, $J(\{p,q\}) \subset span\{p,q\}$ and r, r^* both annihilate p, q, r, p^*, q^*, r^*. So these components vanish always, thanks to the work we have put into adjusting a chosen structure on $\mathfrak{h}_3^* \oplus \mathfrak{h}_3$ to the structure of a Lie algebra on $\mathfrak{h}_3$. Remaining components of the Nijenhuis tensor are:

$$(IV) \quad [\tilde{J},\tilde{J}]\,[(X,0),(Y,0)] = -\left([X,Y],0\right) + \Big[(\tilde{\phi}X,0),(\tilde{\phi}Y,0)\Big] -$$
$$\tilde{J}\left([\tilde{\phi}X,Y],0\right) - \tilde{J}\left([X,\tilde{\phi}Y],0\right) = \left(-[X,Y],0\right) + \left([\tilde{\phi}X,\tilde{\phi}Y],0\right) -$$
$$\left(\tilde{\phi}[\tilde{\phi}X,Y],\eta_3[\tilde{\phi}X,Y]r\right) - \left(\tilde{\phi}[X,\tilde{\phi}Y],\eta_3[X,\tilde{\phi}Y]r\right) =$$
$$\left([\tilde{\phi},\tilde{\phi}][X,Y] - \eta_3[X,Y]r^*, -\eta_3\left([\tilde{\phi}X,Y] + [X,\tilde{\phi}Y]\right)r\right)$$

$$(V) \quad [\tilde{J},\tilde{J}]\,[(X,0),(0,\xi_i)] = \Big[(\tilde{\phi}X,0),(-\lambda_3\xi_i r^*,\psi\xi_i)\Big] - \tilde{J}\Big[(\tilde{\phi}X,0),(0,\xi_i)\Big] -$$
$$\tilde{J}\,[(X,0),(-\lambda_3\xi_i r^*,\psi\xi_i)] = \Big(-[\tilde{\phi}X,\lambda_3\xi_i r^*],0\Big) + \tilde{J}\,([X,\lambda_3\xi_i r^*],0) =$$
$$\Big(-[\tilde{\phi}X,\lambda_3\xi_i r^*],0\Big) + \Big(\tilde{\phi}[X,\lambda_3\xi_i r^*],\eta_3[X,\lambda_3\xi_i r^*]r\Big) =$$
$$\Big(\tilde{\phi}[X,\lambda_3\xi_i r^*] - [\tilde{\phi}X,\lambda_3\xi_i r^*],\eta_3[X,\lambda_3\xi_i r^*]r\Big)$$

$$(VI) \quad [\tilde{J},\tilde{J}]\,[(X,0),(\xi_i^*,0)] = -\left([X,\xi_i^*],0\right) + \Big[(\tilde{\phi}X,0),(\tilde{\phi}\xi_i^*,\eta_3\xi_i^* r)\Big] -$$
$$\tilde{J}\Big[(\tilde{\phi}X,0),(\xi_i^*,0)\Big] - \tilde{J}\Big[(X,0),(\tilde{\phi}\xi_i^*,\eta_3\xi_i^* r)\Big] =$$
$$\Big(-[X,\xi_i^*] + [\tilde{\phi}X,\tilde{\phi}\xi_i^*],0\Big) - \Big(\tilde{\phi}[\tilde{\phi}X,\xi_i^*],\eta_3[\phi X,\xi_i^*]r\Big) - \Big(\tilde{\phi}[X,\tilde{\phi}\xi_i^*],\eta_3[X,\tilde{\phi}\xi_i^*]r\Big) =$$
$$\Big([\tilde{\phi},\tilde{\phi}](X,\xi_i^*) - \eta_3[X,\xi_i^*]r^*, -\eta_3\left([\phi X,\xi_i^*] + [X,\phi\xi_i^*]\right)r\Big)\,.$$

One sees that condition (4) is necessary for the expressions $I - VI$ to vanish since the left hand side of it is an antisymmetric $(2,1)$ tensor and is equal to the first coordinate of I, IV, and VI when computed on suitable vector fields. Now showing that the condition is sufficient goes as in Theorem 2.1 except that we would conclude that V vanish only for $i = 3$ since formally we are using the argument from that proof for $\tilde{\phi}$, η_3 and r^* so we don't take into account p and q. Yet V vanish also for $i = 1, 2$ because then $\lambda_3(\xi_i) = 0$. □

Remark 3.3. *The condition* (4)*, as can be easily checked, is always satisfied for vector fields Z, T with values in $\mathfrak{h}_3^*$.*

From what we have just proved, Theorem 2.1, and definitions, we can formulate the following theorem which describes connections between normal and Heisenberg normal structures.

Theorem 3.4. *For a manifold M with an f.pk structure ϕ whose kernel is paralelizable by a Heisenberg algebra $\mathfrak{h}_3$ the following conditions are equivalent:*

(a) (M,ϕ,η_i,ξ_i^*) is a Heisenberg normal f.pk structure,
(b) the almost complex structure $\tilde{J}$ on $M \times H_3$, constructed for (M,ϕ,η_i,ξ_i^*), is integrable,

(c) $[\tilde{\phi},\tilde{\phi}] + d\eta_3 \otimes r^* = 0$,

(d) the almost complex structure J *on* $M \times \mathbb{R}$*, constructed for* $(M, \tilde{\phi}, \eta_3, r^*)$*, is integrable,*

(e) $(M, \tilde{\phi}, \eta_3, r^*)$ *is a normal f.pk structure.*

Since we have made some assumptions about a structure ϕ on $\mathfrak{h}_3$-manifold we would like to give some examples showing they happen to be fulfilled.

Example 3.5. *Lets look at lowest possible dimension example first. For that purpose take* $M = H_3$ *with zero f.pk structure* ϕ *on it. From remark 3.3 we don't have to bother with normality condition and get an integrable left invariant complex structure* $\tilde{J}$ *on* $H_3 \times H_3$*. Although the example seems to be trivial it is interesting in its own right since dimension six is a delicate point in classification of Lie groups admitting left invariant complex structure (the first dimension in which we don't have classification yet) cf. [8].*

Example 3.6. *First nontrivial example can be obtained in dimension* 5 *and so we would like to provide one. Consider a Lie algebra*

$$\mathfrak{g} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & p^* & s & r^* \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & q^* \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & t \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \; : \; p^*, q^*, r^*, s, t \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

whose bracket structure is (as usually we skip brackets which give 0*)*

$$[s,t] = r^* \text{ and } [p^*, q^*] = r^*. \tag{5}$$

Note also that $\mathfrak{h}_3 = span\{p^*, q^*, r^*\}$ *is a Lie subalgebra of* $\mathfrak{g}$*. Thanks to the Lie algebra - Lie group correspondence we will find a group* G *whose Lie algebra is* $\mathfrak{g}$ *on which* H_3 *acts freely as it will be a subgroup of that group. Define an f.pk-structure* ϕ *on* G *by*

$$\phi s = t$$

$$\phi t = -s$$

$$\phi_{|span\{p^*,q^*,r^*\}} \equiv 0.$$

All we need to do is to check the integrability condition (4) *and since* D *in this case is* 2 *dimensional paralelizable distribution we need to compute*

$$[\tilde{\phi},\tilde{\phi}](s,t) + d\eta_3[s,t]r^* = [t,-s] - r^* = 0$$

$$[\tilde{\phi},\tilde{\phi}](a,b) + d\eta_3[a,b]r^* = 0.$$

for any $a \in \{s,t\}$ *and* $b \in \{p^*, q^*, r^*\}$ *since for such* a, b *we have* $[a,b] = 0$*. Although this example is not complicated it has the property that the distribution* $D = im\phi$ *is non-integrable, so the normality supplies additional information.*

References

[1] D. Alekseevsky, P. Michor, *Differential geometry of g-manifolds*, Differential Geom. Appl. **5**, 371 – 403, 1995.

[2] D. E. Blair, *Riemannian Geometry of Contact and Symplectic Manifolds*, Progress in Math. **203**, Birkhäuser, Boston, 2002.

[3] Ch. Boyer, K. Galicki, *3-Sasakian manifolds*, in Surveys in Differential Geometry: Essays on Einstein Manifolds, Surv. Differ. Geom. **VI**, Int. Press, Boston, MA, 123 – 184, 1999.

[4] Ch. Boyer, K. Galicki, *Sasakian Geometry*, Oxford Un. Press, 2008.

[5] K. L. Duggal, S. Ianus, A. M. Pastore, *Maps Interchanging f-Structures and their Harmonicity*, Acta Applicandae Mathematicae **67**, 91 – 115, 2001.

[6] R. S. Millman, *f-structures with parallelizable kernel on manifolds*, J. Differential Geometry **9**, 531 – 535, 1974.

[7] S. Sasaki, Y. Hatakeyama, *On differentiable manifolds with certain structures which are closely related to almost contact structures II*, Tohoku Math. J. **13**, 281 – 294, 1961.

[8] N. K. Smolentsev, *Complex, Symplectic and Contact Structures on Low-Dimensional Lie Groups*, Journal of Mathematical Sciences **207**, 551 – 613, 2015.

[9] L. Di Terlizzi, J. Konderak, A.M. Pastore, R. Wolak, *K-structures and foliations*, Ann. Univ. Sci. Budapest. Eötvös Sect. Math. **44**, 171 – 182, 2002.